

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

## HARVEY DEANDRE MCDANIELS.

Case No. 3:21-cv-00005-MMD-WGC

**Petitioner,**

## ORDER

v.

NDOC WARDEN GITTERE, *et al.*,

## Respondents.

11 Petitioner Harvey DeAndre McDaniels submitted a *pro se* 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition  
12 for a writ of habeas corpus. (ECF No. 1-1.) He has paid the filing fee; therefore, his  
13 application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is denied as moot. The Court has reviewed the  
14 Petition pursuant to Habeas Rule 4 and directs that it be served on Respondents.

15 A petition for federal habeas corpus should include all claims for relief of which  
16 petitioner is aware. If petitioner fails to include such a claim in his petition, he may be  
17 forever barred from seeking federal habeas relief upon that claim. See 28 U.S.C.  
18 §2254(b). If petitioner is aware of any claim not included in his petition, he should notify  
19 the Court of that as soon as possible, perhaps by means of a motion to amend his petition  
20 to add the claim.

21 It is therefore ordered that Petitioner's application to proceed *in forma pauperis*  
22 (ECF No. 1) is denied as moot.

23 It is further ordered that the Clerk of Court detach, file, and electronically serve the  
24 Petition (ECF No. 1-1) on Respondents.

25 It is further ordered that the Clerk of Court add Aaron D. Ford, Nevada Attorney  
26 General, as counsel for Respondents and provide Respondents an electronic copy of all  
27 items previously filed in this case by regenerating the Notice of Electronic Filing to the  
28 office of the Attorney General only.

1        It is further ordered that Respondents file a response to the Petition, including  
2 potentially by motion to dismiss, within 90 days of service of the Petition, with any requests  
3 for relief by Petitioner by motion otherwise being subject to the normal briefing schedule  
4 under the local rules. Any response filed is to comply with the remaining provisions below,  
5 which are entered pursuant to Habeas Rule 5.

6        It is further ordered that any procedural defenses raised by Respondents in this  
7 case be raised together in a single consolidated motion to dismiss. In other words, the  
8 Court does not wish to address any procedural defenses raised herein either in seriatim  
9 fashion in multiple successive motions to dismiss or embedded in the answer. Procedural  
10 defenses omitted from such motion to dismiss will be subject to potential waiver.  
11 Respondents should not file a response in this case that consolidates their procedural  
12 defenses, if any, with their response on the merits, except pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §  
13 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If Respondents do seek  
14 dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they will do so within the single  
15 motion to dismiss, not in the answer; and (b) they will specifically direct their argument to  
16 the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in *Cassett v. Stewart*, 406 F.3d  
17 614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no procedural defenses, including exhaustion,  
18 should be included with the merits in an answer. All procedural defenses, including  
19 exhaustion, instead must be raised by motion to dismiss.

20        It is further ordered that, in any answer filed on the merits, Respondents specifically  
21 cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court record  
22 materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

23        It is further ordered that Petitioner has 45 days from service of the answer, motion  
24 to dismiss, or other response to file a reply or opposition, with any other requests for relief  
25 by Respondents by motion otherwise being subject to the normal briefing schedule under  
26 the local rules.

27        It is further ordered that any additional state court record exhibits filed herein by  
28 either Petitioner or Respondents be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the

1 exhibits by number. The parties will identify filed CM/ECF attachments by the number(s)  
2 of the exhibits in the attachment.

3 It is further ordered that, at this time, the parties send courtesy copies of any  
4 responsive pleading or motion and all indices of exhibits only to the Reno Division of this  
5 Court. Courtesy copies shall be mailed to the Clerk of Court, 400 S. Virginia St., Reno,  
6 NV, 89501, and directed to the attention of "Staff Attorney" on the outside of the mailing  
7 address label. No further courtesy copies are required unless and until requested by the  
8 Court.

9 DATED THIS 10<sup>th</sup> Day of February 2021.



10  
11 MIRANDA M. DU  
12 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28